26 U.S.C. § 7205 False Withholding Allowance Certificate, Form W-4

IN THE DIS	TRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR	THE DISTRICT OF
UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	CA)
v.) No) 26 U.S.C., § 7205)
The United States Attorn	
That on or about the	day of, 19_, in the District of, [<i>Defendant's</i>
Name], a resident of [City], [State	te], who during the calendar year 19_ was employed by [Name of
Employer], a resident of [City],	[State], and who was required under the Internal Revenue laws to
furnish [<i>Name of Employer</i>] wi	ith a signed Employee's Withholding Allowance Certificate, Form
W-4, setting forth the number	of withholding allowances claimed on or about the date of the
commencement of employment b	by [Name of Employer], did willfully supply a false and fraudulent
Employee's Withholding Allowa	ance Certificate, Form W-4, to [Name of Employer], on which he
[she] claimed withholding all	llowances, 1 whereas, as [Defendant's Name] then and there well
knew and believed, he [she] was	entitled to claim only withholding allowances. 2
In violation of Title 26, U	United States Code, Section 7205.
	United States Attorney

COMMENTS

1 The Government does *not* have to prove the number of allowances that the defendant could claim. *See United States v. McDonough*, 603 F.2d 19, 23-24 (7th Cir. 1979).

2 The Fifth Circuit has ruled that "withholding exemptions" and "withholding allowances" are the same in the context of the sufficiency of a Section 7205 indictment. *United States v. Anderson*, 577 F.2d 258, 261 (5th Cir. 1978).

NOTES

- 1 Where appropriate, the following language should be substituted: "he [she] claimed exemption from withholding."
- ${f 2}$ Where appropriate, the following language should be substituted: "he [she] was \underline{not} exempt from withholding."